WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT

SEBLANSING LANDFILL

A.

Date:_	Inspector Vit	<u>2 W</u>	71/2					
Time:	6 12: 45 Weather Conditions: - 01	1.4~	14-50	<u> </u>				
- 2 - CM O.								
		. Yes	N) .	Notes			
CCRL	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR 5257.	8 4)						
1_	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or							
İ	localized settlement observed on the	ŀ			-			
-	sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing	ł		1				
<u></u>	CCR?	l	\perp	/ l'				
- 2	Were conditions observed within the cells		1-0	-				
ļ	containing CCR or within the general landfill							
	operations that represent a potential disruption	L						
	to ongoing CCR management operations?							
3_	Were conditions observed within the cells or							
ļ	within the general landfill operations that	ļ:	١ ١					
ł	represent a potential disruption of the safety of	-	1	/				
	the CCR management operations.	1						
CCBF								
	gifive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)	(4))		/				
4_	Was CCR received during the reporting		1	1				
	period? If answer is no, no additional	1	1 (/ `				
	information required.	}		ĺ				
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust							
	suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?		-	-				
6_	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR	-	 					
	conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to	1						
	landfill working face, or was the CCR not	į		į				
	susceptable to fugitive dust generation?		1					
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on							
	Iandfill access roads?				-			
8_	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the		 					
	landfill? If the answer is yes, describe			-				
	corrective action measures below.	•			-			
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control		ļ					
	measures effective? If the answer is no,		1					
	describe recommended changes below-							
10_	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen							
	complaints received during the reporting	-		1				
ĺ	period? If the answer is yes, answer question							
II.	Were the citizen complaints logged?							
l	combrants to Reart							

WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT

Date:_	11-21-29 Inspector Va	<u> </u>			
· Time:_	3:50 Weather Conditions: -3	Z	OVE	CC481	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. Yes	No		Notes
CCRI	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.8	; <u>4</u>)			
1_	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the	-		_	
-	sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?		10	†i	
- 2	Were conditions observed within the cells	 			
1	containing CCR or within the general landfill				
	operations that represent a potential disruption	İ			
•	to ongoing CCR management operations?	ļ			
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or	<u> </u>		- 	
	within the general landfill operations that	j.	Ì		
	represent a potential disruption of the safety of				
	the CCR management operations.				
GC T		L	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	·
	ugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(<u>4))</u>			
4_	Was CCR received during the reporting			1.	
1	period? If answer is no, no additional	! !	1 1/	<i>Y</i> .	
	information required				
5_	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust				
	suppresents) prior to delivery to landfill?		-		
6_	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to		1		•
	landfill working face, or was the CCR not		1 1		
	susceptable to fugitive dust generation?				
7_	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	landfill access roads?				•
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the				
	landfill? If the answer is yes, describe			-	
	corrective action measures below.				•
9_	Are current CCR fugitive dust control				
	measures effective? If the answer is no.	1	1		
	describe recommended changes below.				
10.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen				
	complaints received during the reporting				
	period? If the answer is yes, answer question	1	1		
II.	Were the citizen complaints logged?				
	- 35				
dditional	, Notes				
·····					
	<u> </u>				

WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT

SEE LANSING LANDFILL Inspector Weather Conditions: Yes No Notes CCR Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR 5257-84) Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR? 2. Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations? Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations. CCR Fugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR \$257.80(b)(4)) Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill? 6_ If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation? Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads? 8. Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below. 9. Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below. 10_ Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question II. Were the citizen complaints logged? Additional Notes:

· WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT ANSING LANDFILL Weather Conditions: -Su Yes No Notes CCR Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR 5257-84) Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR? 2 Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations? Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations. CCR Fugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4)) Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required. Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust 5. suppresents) prior to delivery to landfill? If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation? Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads? Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the 8_ landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below. 9_ Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below. 10_ Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question II. Were the citizen complaints logged? Additional Notes:

Q:\Waste Connections\Lansing\CCR Plan Final\Weekly Inspection Form 10_2015 > 15=